by Ahrens (1952), but is in fairly good agreement with the values in Table 5 derived from the ionic distances W-O known in the  $[WO_4]^{2-}$  ion of the structures  $AWO_4$  (A=Ba, Ca, Pb or Sr) given by Sillén & Nylander (1943).

Table 5 shows also the change of the ionic distances in the tetrahedral coordination with the radii, or with the polarization effect of the A cations. Also the  $\mathrm{NH}_4^+$  ion must have such an effect complicated to some extent by the protons around the N atom. The reduced ionic distance of W-O, as well as that of W-S can be attributed most probably to a partially covalent bond in the tetrahedron.

According to Fig. 4 and Table 4 the  $\rm NH_4^+$  ions have 9 and 10 nearest neighbours respectively, with distances ranging from 3.10 to 3.96 Å. The arrangement of the S<sup>2-</sup> ions around  $\rm NH_4^+$  is rather irregular.

Although the structure of ammonium thiotungstate cannot be regarded as a close-packed one, a similarity to close packing can be detected. Parallel to the plane (100) corrugated ionic planes can be seen (broken lines show these planes for one period in Fig. 4), built up of S<sup>2-</sup> and NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> ions and appearing with two different ionic arrangements given without distortion in Fig. 5. These two kinds of ionic plane A and Balternate in the crystal lattice according to the scheme  $\dots ABBABBA \dots$  In Fig. 4 the period BABB is given. The fitting of any two neighbouring ionic planes is pictured in Fig. 6(a), (b), (c) in which the distortion of the lattice is omitted. As the ionic planes are built up of S<sup>2-</sup> anions and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> cations in one (a, b) period of the unit cell, by fitting the AB and BA planes, only one tetrahedron and by fitting the BB planes. two tetrahedra are built with only  $S^{2-}$  ions at the corners. Every other polyhedron of this ionic arrangement has at its corners different kinds of ions. If we put W atoms in the cavities surrounded only by  $S^{2-}$ ions and make a distortion by corrugating the related ionic planes which is necessary in order to separate from each other the atoms that are too near to one another in the undistorted arrangement, we come to the structure of ammonium thiotungstate.

The author would like to thank Dr G. Gattow of the University of Göttingen (Germany) for supplying the crystals used, the Hungarian Central Bureau of Statistics for supplying the computer Ural 1, Mr G. Kiss for making the program for Ural 1, and Mr L. Zilahi-Sebess for his support in making the program for the computer IBM 628.

#### References

- AHRENS, L. H. (1952). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 2, 155.
- BERZELIUS, J. J. (1826). Ann. Phys. Lpz. 8, 277.
- CORLEIS, E. (1886). Liebigs Ann. 232, 268.
- GATTOW, G. (1959). Naturwissenschaften, 46, 425.
- GATTOW, G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 419.
- GOLDSCHMIDT, V. M. (1926). Geochemische Verteilungsgesetze der Elemente, VII.
- Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung von Kristallstrukturen (1935). Berlin: Borntraeger.
- SILLÉN, L. G. & NYLANDER, A. (1943). Ark. Kemi Min. Geol. A, 17, (4), 1.
- VATER, H. (1883). Z. Kristallogr. 10, 392.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, Lond. 150, 152.
- WYCKOFF, R. W. G. & ARMSTRONG, ALICE H. (1930). Z. Kristallogr. 72, 319.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 724

# Order in Binary $\sigma$ -Phases

## By C. G. Wilson

Royal Military College of Science, Shrivenham, Swindon, Wiltshire, England

(Received 3 August 1962 and in revised form 26 October 1962)

The atomic order in two  $\sigma$ -phase Re-Mo alloys has been determined using powder photographs and X-ray diffractometry. The proposed order schemes support the same correlation between the position of the element in the periodic table and the lattice site as that obeyed by  $\sigma$ -phases formed by elements of the first long period. Rhenium is similar to manganese in its ordering behaviour.

## Introduction

The existence of the  $\sigma$ -phase in many binary and ternary alloys of the transition elements has been reported in recent years (Greenfield & Beck, 1956; Knapton, 1957; Nevitt & Downey, 1957) and the detailed structure of this phase has been carefully determined for several representative alloys (Bergman & Shoemaker, 1954; Kasper, Decker & Belanger, 1952; Dickins, Douglas & Taylor, 1956). The existence of order in the  $\sigma$ -phase structure has been established in a number of alloys (Decker, Waterstrat & Kasper, 1954; Kasper & Waterstrat, 1956; Ageev & Shekhtman, 1959, 1960; Brown & Forsyth, 1961; Wilson &

Spooner, 1963). The aim of these investigations is to obtain information about the factors governing the structure of this phase.

The present  $\bar{X}$ -ray investigation was carried out in order to determine the nature of the order present in the  $\sigma$ -phase formed by rhenium and molybdenum since there is a large difference in the atomic numbers of these two elements ( $Z_{\rm Re} - Z_{\rm Mo} = 33$ ). The  $\sigma$ -phases were prepared by arc-melting in argon. The nominal compositions of the two alloys used were Re 55 at.%, Mo 45 at.% (ReMo55) and Re 68 at.%, Mo 32 at.% (ReMo68). According to Greenfield & Beck (1956)

Table I. M-ray walk for 0 mile of	Table 1.	X-ray	data for	$\sigma$ -WRe 50
-----------------------------------	----------	-------	----------	------------------

		$\frac{\text{Powder}}{\sin^2\theta}$	$ ext{camera}  imes 10^4$	Inte	nsities	Diffracinte	etometer nsities	
hkl		Obs.	Calc.	Obs.	Calc.	Obs.	Calc.	
110			128	_	< 0.1		0.1	
200			256	_	< 0.1	—	0.1	
101			300		4.8	—	4.7	
210		—	321		< 0.1		0.1	
111		_	365		0.9		0.8	
220			513	_	< 0.1	—	1.0	
211			557		0	_	0	
310		_	641		1.1		0.0	
221			749		0.8 1.3		0.5	
301 990			834		0.7		0.3	
340 911		884	887	21217	41.8	18	16.2	
002		951	945	w	167	47	55.7	
400			1026	<u> </u>	0.2		0.1	
321	)		1070	*	4.6) 961	) j	1.5	
112	2	_	1073	$vvw^{+}$	21.5 $20.1$	233	6.6	231
410		1098	1090	8	734	J	223	
330		1160	1154	m	358	101	103	
202		1207	1202	m	319	92	90	
212	}	1273	1266	8	$\begin{bmatrix} 079\\ 9.9 \end{bmatrix} 681$	183 }	100	181
420	J	1994	1282	410	1070	268	274	
411 991		1334	1320	103 8	534	129	129	
222		1358	1458	บ บ	138	31	31.8	
421			1519		5.8		1.3	
312		1586	1586	w	174	36	36.7	
430		_	1603		0.8	—	0.2	
510		—	1667		4.7		1.0	
322		1786	1779	vw	43.9	9	8.5	
501	}	1842	1839	vw	$\frac{3 \cdot 2}{46 \cdot 9}$ 50.1	10.4		9.4
431 520	,		1859		<0.1	,	< 0.1	
511		1909	1903	vw	46.7	8.1	8.5	
402			1971		6.4	-	1.1	
412			2035		4.8		0.8	
440		—	2052		0.1	—	0.2	
521	)	2098	2096	vvw	19.3 20.1	7 }	3.2	$3 \cdot 3$
332	J		2099		0.83 J	)	0.1	
530		_	2180	<b>.</b>	2.0	_	0.3	
100			2191	_	1.06		0.2	
113			2255	_	0.3		< 0.1	
441			2288	_	0.2		< 0.1	
600			2308		0.4	—	< 0.1	
610			2372	_	< 0.1		< 0.1	
531		—	2416	_	< 0.1	—	< 0.1	
213			2447		< 0.1	— 、	< 0.1	
432	}	2553	2548	vw	$\begin{bmatrix} 59.8 \\ 5 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65 \\ 65$	11 }	8.74	$9 \cdot 6$
620	Į		2565		0.8 J	{	0.03	
611	}	2617	2009	vw	$\binom{12}{65\cdot 3}$ 66.5	10 }	9.17	9.3
540	J		2629		4·9	_ ,	0.7	
223		_	2640		1.9	. <u></u>	0.2	
303			2704		0.6	_	0.1	
313		_	2768	$vvw^*$	21.4	<u> </u>	$2 \cdot 8$	
621	)	9000	2801	2/1	29.6 \ 160	19 Ì	3.75	21.4
522	Ĵ	2000	2805	w	139·6 ∫ <sup>105</sup>	•• J	17·64 J	
		* Not mea	surable but v	visible.	v = very; w = weak;	m = medium;	s = strong.	

these  $\sigma$ -phases are stable above 1,200 °C and, accordingly, the melts were annealed at 2,000 °C before quenching. The alloys were extremely brittle and powder samples were prepared by crushing and grinding with an agate mortar.

In addition to the two rhenium-molybdenum alloys a sample of a  $\sigma$ -phase containing 50 at.% tungsten and 50 at.% rhenium (WRe50) was also submitted to X-ray examination. Since the difference in scattering factors of tungsten and rhenium is very small and the anomalous dispersion corrections for copper radiation are the same (Dauben & Templeton, 1955), this sample behaved as though it were disordered and thus served as a kind of standard for the detection of order in the rhenium-molybdenum alloys.

## Experimental

Debye-Scherrer powder photographs of all the alloys were taken with a 19 cm precision camera using a copper target with nickel filter. Unit-cell sizes were obtained from the observations on high-angle lines using a Nelson-Riley extrapolation method. Line intensities were estimated visually up to  $\sin^2 \theta = 0.4$ . Beyond this limit the interference between  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ components of neighbouring lines made the estimation of line intensities difficult. Line intensities were calculated for various ordering schemes and for a random atom distribution in the unit cell using the atomic co-ordinates of  $\sigma$ Fe–Cr given by Bergman & Shoemaker (1954). Other investigations (Decker et al., 1954; Kasper & Waterstrat, 1956) have shown that these co-ordinates can be used with reasonable confidence with other  $\sigma$ -phases and their use should not introduce any serious error in intensity calculations for low hkl. The anomalous-dispersion corrections to scattering factors given by Dauben & Templeton (1955) have been included in all the calculations. An absorption correction for each alloy has been determined using the method described by Klug & Alexander (1954).

In order to obtain quantitative estimates of line intensities several runs were made with flat powder samples using a Hilger diffractometer with a stabilized Philips X-ray set and a curved quartz-crystal monochromator. Copper radiation was used with a xenonfilled proportional counter as detector connected to a single-channel pulse analyser set to received pulses from  $K\alpha$  photons only. The diffraction pattern was recorded continuously at a chart speed of  $3.75^{\circ}$  of  $2\theta$  per hour.

The line intensities of the diffractometer specimens were determined by measuring the areas beneath peaks using a planimeter. These were compared with calculations based on the Bergman-Shoemaker parameters. The absorption factor was assumed constant over the range of  $2\theta$  measured (10° to 80° approx.). Preferred-orientation effects, which were troublesome with the WRe sample, were minimized by mixing the finely powdered sample with starch. The slight disagreement between observed and calculated intensities for 002 and 004 lines (Table 1) is attributed to this effect. The same procedure was adopted for the ReMo samples.

The diffractometer was set with an exit slit giving a horizontal divergence of  $0.34^{\circ}$ . With a time constant of five seconds and a thin sample of quartz powder which was used for calibration, the resolving power of the  $20\overline{2}0$  line at  $42^{\circ}$  (approx.) was 3%. The use of Soller slits to improve the resolution was precluded because of the very small quantities of  $\sigma$ -phase samples available. Although reproducible results were obtained with the sample-starch mixtures, the intensities of medium and strong lines could not be estimated with an accuracy better than 10%; the weakest lines, which were most important in  $\sigma$ -phase order determination, could not be measured to better than 30 to 40%. The  $\sigma$ -phase is complex and the small intensity contributions from extremely weak lines, such as the unobserved lines of Table 1, give rise to a virtual background which makes the delineation of the 'wings' of measured lines somewhat uncertain. This effect, coupled with the asymmetry of line profiles, led to large uncertainties in very weak line intensities. The uncertainties in single runs were reduced by taking mean values from several runs.

#### Results

(i)  $\sigma$ -Phase WRe50

The unit-cell dimensions are

$$a = 9.6274 \pm 0.0005, \ c = 5.0150 \pm 0.0005 \text{ Å}, c/a = 0.5209$$
.

This result is in good agreement with Greenfield & Beck (1956) who give a=9.55, c=4.98 Å, c/a=0.52, for the WRe  $\sigma$ -phase. The values of  $\sin^2 \theta$  and line intensities are given in Table 1. The good agreement between observed and calculated intensities, assuming a random distribution of atoms in the unit cell, gives some justification for using the Bergman & Shoemaker parameters.

(ii)  $\sigma$ -Phase ReMo55

The unit-cell dimensions are

$$a = 9.6010 \pm 0.0005, c = 4.9850 \pm 0.0005 \text{ Å},$$
  
 $c/a = 0.5192.$ 

Previous investigators of this  $\sigma$ -phase quote:  $a=9\cdot54-9\cdot58$ ,  $c=4\cdot95-4\cdot96$  Å,  $c/a=0\cdot52$  (Greenfield & Beck, 1956);  $a=9\cdot54$ ,  $c=4\cdot95$  Å,  $c/a=0\cdot52$  for Re<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub> (Ageev & Shekhtman, 1959);  $a=9\cdot588$ ,  $c=4\cdot983$  Å,  $c/a=0\cdot520$  for Re<sub>3</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub> (Knapton, 1958).

Visual comparison of the WRe50 and ReMo55 Debye–Scherrer films shows only minor differences in the intensities of the two characteristic groups of strong  $\sigma$ -phase lines and on this evidence alone the

ReMo55 phase might be regarded as completely disordered. A comparison of overexposed films shows the following differences which are attributed to ordering:

From the composition of the alloy it is assumed than an average of 16.5 atoms of rhenium and 13.5

## Table 2. Comparison of selected weak lines on ReMo55 and WRe50 films

atoms of molybdenum are contained in the unit cell. The order scheme was determined by trial and error, guided by the requirements listed in Table 2. The relatively weak 002 line suggested that some Re atoms occupied E sites which have a negative structure amplitude. In order that 331 might be strong the D sites must be mainly occupied by Re atoms. Likewise, the observed intensity of 101 required

## Table 3. Order scheme for ReMo55

\_ . . .

hhl ain2A		Domonica		Table 3. Order scheme for Remois					
<i>пкі</i> 101	309 374	Much stronger on ReMo55 than WRe50		Crystal s	llographic site	Total no. of atoms	Site occ Re	upancy Mo	
311	887	Much weaker on ReMo55 than WRe50	${}^{A}$	(000)		2	2	Nil	
322	1786 )	This group of lines is relatively much	B	(xx0)	(x = 0.3981)	4	1	3	
$\frac{131}{511}$	$1843 \\ 1908 $	stronger on ReMo55 than WRe50	C	(xy0)	(x = 0.4632) (y = 0.1316)	8	4	4	
402	1999	Easily seen on ReMo55 film but not on WRe50 film	D	(xy0)	(x = 0.7376) (x = 0.0652)	8	$6 \cdot 5$	1.5	
432 611 512	$\left.\begin{array}{c}2576\\2638\end{array}\right\}$	This pair of lines is appreciably weaker on ReMo55 than WRe50	${m E}$	(xxz)	(y = 0.0033) (x = 0.1823) (z = 0.25)	8	3	5	

#### Table 4. X-ray data for $\sigma$ -ReMo 55

	Powder camera $\sin^2 \theta \times 10^4$		In	Intensities: calculated			Diffractometer Intensities: calculated			
hkl	Obs.	Calc.	Observed	Ordered	Random	Observed	Ordered	Random		
110		129		_	_	_	< 0.1	< 0.1		
200		258		0.3			0.3	< 0.1		
101	309	304	vvw	20.3	$3 \cdot 2$	18	19.2	$3 \cdot 0$		
210		322		0.6			0.5	< 0.1		
111	374*	368	vvvw	<b>4</b> ·1	0.6	4.6	3.4	0.5		
220		516		0.9			0.5	< 0.1		
211		561	_		-	_	< 0.1	0		
310		645		0.6	0.8		0.3	0.4		
221		755		$1 \cdot 3$	2.8	_	0.5	1.1		
301		819			0.9	_	< 0.1	0.3		
320		838		1.3	0.5		0.5	0.2		
311	887	884	vvvw	16.1	30.1	5.8	5.4	10.1		
002	952	957	mw	140	113	38	<b>44</b> ·3	35.5		
400		1032		1.3	0.4	_	0.4	0.1		
321		1077		$1 \cdot 0$	3.3		0.3	0.9		
112)		1086		13 ) - 00	15.2	140)	3.6 ] 148	4.2		
410	1093	1096	vs	$520 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 533 \\ 533 \end{array} \right\}$	$519$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 534 \\ 534 \end{array} \right\}$	148	$144 \int_{-140}^{140}$	$144 \int^{140}$		
330	1157	1160	ms	257	256	70 -	$65 \cdot 6$	$65 \cdot 2$		
202	1211	1214	m	218	227	57	$55 \cdot 1$	57.4		
$\frac{1}{212}$		1279		482 )	475	110)	116 ) 110	$115$ $_{115}$		
420	1276	1289	8	7.6 490	$1.5 \left\{ \frac{479}{479} \right\}$	112	1.8	0.4∫113		
411	1332	1335	vvs	837	755 <sup>´</sup>	194	193	174		
331	1397	1400	8	415	376	98	92.3	83.7		
222	1467	1472	m-mw	97	91	<b>23</b>	20.8	19.6		
421	1528*	1528	vvvw	3.1	$4 \cdot 0$	_	0.6	0.8		
312)	1 = 0.0	1601		134 ) 196	115	97	$27.3$ ) $_{27.8}$	23.5 23.6		
430	1596	1602	m	$2.3 \int 1.30$	$0.6 \int 110$	21 j	$0.5 \int 21.6$	0.1∫ 200		
510	1680*	1676		6·3 ́	$3 \cdot 2$		$1 \cdot 2$	0.6		
322	1786	1795	w	<b>4</b> 9·1	28.8	10.4	$9 \cdot 2$	5.4		
501)	1040	1051		$4.5)_{55.9}$	$2 \cdot 1$ 22.2	10.6	$0.8 \int 10.1$	0.4 6.1		
431	1843	1851	w	50.7 $50.2$	31.2	10.0	9.3∫ 101	5.7∫ 01		
520		1870	_	´	<sup>(</sup>	·	< 0.1	< 0.1		
511	1908	1915	w	49.2	31.5	9.4	8.8	5.7		
402	1999*	1988	vvvw	14.8	$4 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 9$	$2 \cdot 6$	7.4		
412)	0000*	2052		12.7 ] 12.0	$3.2)_{2.4}$	2.5	$2 \cdot 1 \setminus 2 \cdot 1$	0.5 0.5		
440	2062*	2063	vvvw	$0.2 \int 12.9$	$0.2 \int -3.4$	<b>5</b> .5	> 0.1	> 0.1		
521 Ì	0101	2109		19.5	12.7	1.6	3·1 ) ₂.ջ	2.0 $2.1$		
332	2101	2117	vvw	$4.0 \int 23.5$	$0.5 \int 10.2$	±.0 }	0.7∫ 3.8	0.1 j 2 1		
5 <b>3</b> 0 ´		2192	_	0.3	1.7		< 0.1	0.3		
103	2222	2217	vvvw	8.3	$1 \cdot 3$		1.3	0.2		
422		2246		1.2	0.7	—	0.5	0-1		

	$\frac{\text{Powder}}{\sin^2\theta}$	$\times 10^4$	Intensities: calculated		: calculated		Diffractometer Intensities: calculated		
hkl	Obs.	Calc.	Observed	Ordered	Random	Observed	Ordered	Random	
113	_	2281	_	1.8	0.3		0.3	< 0.1	
441	—	2302		1.0	0.1	_	0.2	< 0.1	
600		2321			0.3		0	< 0.1	
610		2385		0.7		_	0.1	< 0.1	
531	_	2431			_		< 0.1	< 0.1	
213		2494	—				< 0.1	< 0.1	
432	0=76*	2568		23.6) at a	39.6)	)	3.3)	5.5	
620	2570*	2579	vvvw	0.6 24.2	3.9 43.5	5.4 }	0.1 3.4	0.5 6.0	
611 )	0090*	2624		1.11	2.3		0.1	0.3)	
512	2638*	2633	vvvw	$25\cdot3$ 26·4	43.2 45.5	$5\cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$ 3 $\cdot 5$	5.8  6.1	
540		2643		0.3	3.1		< 0.1	0.4	
223	_	2668	_	0.6	2.6		0.1	0.9	
303		2732		_	0.4		< 0.1	< 0.1	
313)		2797		7.2)	13.9)		0.0)	1.8)	
621	2818	2818	97917	12.0 93.3	19.7 106	8.0	1.5 11.7	9.5 19.4	
522		2826	υw	74.1	72.4	0.0	0.2	0.1	
541		2878		6.6)	14 + )	)	9.3 J	9.1 )	
630	2889*	2896	vvvw	0.7 7.3	13.8 }	_	$0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.9$	$\{0,1\}$ 1.7	
323		2985	_		)		0.1 J	< 0.1	
442	_	3015	_				0.1	0.1	
• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		•••••	
631 )	3140	3135	<b>m m</b> a	7.9	11.1) 210	)	0.9 )	1.3)	
532 ∫	3140	3143	m– $ms$	$283 \int 291$	298	30.1	$32 \cdot 1$ 33	33.8 $35.1$	
550	2014	9910		112	116 1	í	0.3	0.1	
710 ∫	3414	3218	w-mw	$2 \cdot 4 $ $114$	0.2		12.5	12.8	
413	3242	3243	ms-s	417	377		47	42.4	
602	3268	3272	m	154	162	124	17 115	17.9 109	
333	3303	3307	m	218	198	(	23.7	21.5	
612	0000	3336		137	134		14.5	14.9	
640 Ì	3332	3347	m	0.4 $137$	$[0.8]{135}$		~ 0.1	< 0.1	
701	<b>0</b> 4 0 <b>-</b>	3393		25)	24)	,	2.5	2.4	
720	3407	3411	ms	278 303	296 320		98.4	2.4	
423 <sup>′</sup>	_	3436		5.5	3.6	15.5	0.6 50.9	30.3	
711)		0100		1.1)	0.2)	40.0	0.0 50.8	0.4 49.5	
551	3453	3457	m	$180^{11}$ {190	$162^{0.5}$ { 162		10.9	< 0.1	
622	3527	3529	20	105 )	102 J	110	19.2)	16.4)	
641)	0021	3586	w	98 )	90 09 \	11.9	10.7	9.5	
542	3590	3504	w	101 > 129	$\frac{29}{99}$ \ 111	12.5	$2.8 \\ 12.7$	$2\cdot 3$ 11.0	
721	3646	3650	<i>m</i> 0	101 J 909	00 J		9.9 ]	8.7 )	
730	0040	2722	ms	494	230	26.3	28.6	22.5	
503		0100		0.0	0.2		0.0)	0.1	
433	3777*	3764	vvvw	$\frac{2}{25.6}$ 27.6	10.7 20		$2 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 6$	$\{0,1\}$ 1.9	
004		2890		20.0 J	19.3		2·4 J = 0	1.8	
512	3819	0040 9099	m	222 = 256	$213 \\ 235 \\ 235$	16.9	20.1 23.1	19.2 21.1	
010 )		<b>3</b> 822		33.9 J	22 J	)	3.0 j -0 1	1.9 5 21 1	

Table 4 (cont.)

N.B. Values of  $\sin^2 \theta$  below dotted line refer to  $\alpha_1$  components. v = very; w = weak; m = medium; s = strong.\* Indicates measurement made on overexposed film.

B sites to be filled mainly by molybdenum atoms and A sites filled by Re atoms. The refinement of an order scheme which satisfied the powder film data was obtained from a study of diffractometer intensities. The reliability of the final order scheme, which is given in Table 3, is such that a replacement of one atom of Re by Mo has an observable adverse effect. The calculated intensities for this scheme, normalized to the diffractometer intensities, and also for a random arrangement are given in Table 4; the powder film data are also given in this table.

# (iii) $\sigma$ -Phase ReMo68

The unit-cell dimensions are

# $a = 9.5788 \pm 0.0004$ , $c = 4.9741 \pm 0.0005$ Å, c/a = 0.5193.

This cell is slightly smaller than that of ReMo55, which supports the belief that Re atoms tend to occupy sites of smaller volume than those of Mo atoms.

## Table 5. Order schemes for ReMo68

	Total no.	Order No	scheme 5. 1	Order scheme No. 2		
Site	atoms	$\mathbf{Re}$	Mo	$\mathbf{Re}$	Mo	
$\boldsymbol{A}$	2	2	Nil	2	Nil	
B	4	2	2	1	3	
C	8	4	4	4	4	
D	8	8	Nil	8	Nil	
E	8	4	4	5	3	

Table 6. X-ray data for  $\sigma$ -ReMo 68

	$can sin^2 \theta$	$\times 10^4$		Intensitie	es: calculated			Diffractor Intensities: ca	neter ilculated	
hkl	Obs.	Calc.	Obs.	Ord, 1	Ord. 2	Random	Obs.	Ord. 1	Ord. 2	Random
101	307	308	vvw	19.1	26.3	3.4	18.6	18.1	24.9	3.2
111	—	373	< vvw	5.5	7.1	0.6	$4 \cdot 3$	4.6	5.9	0.5
311	896	895	< vvw	17.5	12.9	$32 \cdot 3$	6.8	$5 \cdot 9$	4.3	10.8
002	965	968	w	158	139	121	41.9	50	<b>43</b> ·8	38.1
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 112 \\ 410 \end{array} \right\}$	1107	$\frac{1096}{1109}$	8	$\left. egin{smallmatrix} 11\cdot2 \\ 597\cdot6 \end{smallmatrix}  ight\} 609$	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 16 \\ 620 \end{smallmatrix} \right\} 636$	572	169 }	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 3\cdot 4 \\ 165 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ 169	} 176	} 157
330	1172	1174	m	278	293	274	81	70·9´	, 75	, 70
202	1225	1226	mw	249	244	243	59.9	62.7	62	61.5
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 212\\ 420 \end{array} \right\}$	1289	$\frac{1291}{1304}$	ms	$egin{array}{c} 536 \\ 10 \end{array} iggree 546$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 542 \\ 11 \end{array}  ight\} 553$	513	128 }	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 129\cdot 2\\ 2\cdot 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ 131	} 134	} 124
411	1348	1349	vs	922	881	809	209	213	203	187
331	1413	1414	ms	484	458	403	103	108	102	90
222	1483	1485	vw	117	126	98	26.5	25	26.9	21
421	1543	1544	< vvw	3.9	$7 \cdot 1$	$4 \cdot 3$	not obs.	0.8	1.5	0.9
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 312\\ 430 \end{array} \right\}$	1614	$\begin{array}{c}1614\\1629\end{array}$	w	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 146 \\ 3 \end{array} \right\}$ 149	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 150 \\ 5 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ 155	124	29.4 }	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 29 \cdot 7 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \end{array} \right\} \hspace{0.2cm} 30 \cdot 3$	} 31.6	$25\cdot3$
322	1807	1809	vw	<b>48</b> ∙5 ́	$64.3^{-1}$	30.9	10.7	9·1 ´	12.1	5.8
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 501\\ 431 \end{array} \right\}$	1865	1869	vw	$\left. egin{array}{c} 4\cdot 1 \\ 44\cdot 3 \end{array}  ight\} \left. \left. egin{array}{c} 48\cdot 4 \end{array}  ight.$	$\left. egin{array}{c} 6{\cdot}6 \ 53{\cdot}6 \end{array}  ight\} \left. \begin{array}{c} 60{\cdot}2 \end{array}  ight.$	35.7	10.2	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 7\\ 8\cdot 1 \end{array} \right\} = 8\cdot 8$	} 11	$\left. \right\} 6.5$
511	1930	1934	vw	61	61	33.8	11.4	10.9	<i>1</i> 0∙9	6.1
402		2004	vvw	14.7	19.9	4.5	2.8	$2 \cdot 6$	3.5	7.9
412	—	2069	< vvw	13.1	$6 \cdot 2$	$3 \cdot 4$	$2 \cdot 5$	$2 \cdot 1$	1.0	0.5
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 521\\ 332 \end{array} \right\}$	2122	2128 2134	vvw	$\left. rac{24}{2\cdot 4}  ight\} \left. 26\cdot 4  ight.$	$\left. egin{array}{c} 20\cdot 8 \\ 1\cdot 5 \end{array}  ight\} \left. 22\cdot 3  ight.$	14.2	$5 \cdot 0 $	$\left. egin{smallmatrix} 3 \cdot 9 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \end{smallmatrix}  ight\} = 4 \cdot 3$	} 3.5	$2 \cdot 3$
103	2235	2230	vvw	8.6	10.5	1.4	not obs.	1.4	1.7	0.2
$\left.\begin{array}{c}432\\630\end{array}\right\}$	2586	$\begin{array}{c} 2588 \\ 2602 \end{array}$	vvw	$\left. egin{array}{c} 25\cdot 3 \ 1\cdot 4 \end{array}  ight\} \left. \left. egin{array}{c} 26\cdot 7 \end{array}  ight.$	$\left. egin{smallmatrix} 23\cdot4\ 1\cdot9 \end{smallmatrix}  ight\} \left. 25\cdot3  ight.$	46.6	$4 \cdot 5$	$\left. egin{array}{c} 3\cdot 2 \ 0\cdot 3 \end{array}  ight\} = 3\cdot 5$	} 3.6	} 6.4
512	2647	$\begin{array}{c} 2647 \\ 2653 \end{array}$	vvw	$\left. \begin{array}{c} <1\\ 38\cdot 2 \end{array}  ight\} \hspace{0.1cm} 38\cdot 2$	$\left. \begin{array}{c} <1\\ 33\cdot 3 \end{array} \right\}$ 33·3	48.8	4.3	$\begin{pmatrix} <1\\5\cdot1 \end{pmatrix}$ 5·1	} 4.9	} 7.2
313	<u> </u>	2806	not obs.	7.0	7.5	14.9	Ì	0·9 j	í –	í –
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 621 \\ 522 \end{array} \right\}$	2843	$\begin{array}{c} 2842 \\ 2848 \end{array}$	w	$\left. egin{array}{c} 14\cdot 1 \ 74\cdot 3 \end{array}  ight\} \ 88\cdot 4$	$\left. egin{array}{c} 10\cdot 1 \\ 79\cdot 3 \end{array}  ight\} \hspace{0.2cm} 89\cdot 4$	<b>98</b> ·7	11.9	$\begin{array}{c c} 1\cdot 8 \\ 9\cdot 5 \end{array}$ 12·2	12.5	14.4
541		2907	not obs.	15	10.4	14.8	not obs.	1.9	1.3	1.8
$\left. \begin{array}{c} 631\\ 532 \end{array} \right\}$	3166	$\frac{3161}{3167}$	m	$\left.\frac{9\cdot8}{308}\right\}318$	$\left. rac{9\cdot 4}{322}  ight\}$ 331	332	36.1	$\left. egin{array}{c} 1\cdot 1 \ 35\cdot 1 \end{array}  ight\} \left. 36\cdot 2  ight.$	37.7	37.6

v = very; w = weak; m = medium; s = strong.

The powder photographs were a little spotty, making the visual estimation of lines more difficult than for ReMo55. The small differences in the results for the two alloys led to the two order schemes given in Table 5. For the purposes of ordering the unit cell was assumed to contain 20 Re atoms and 10 Mo atoms. The limited data given in Table 6, for lowangle lines only, do not warrant an unequivocal acceptance of either scheme but calculations on higher-angle lines favour scheme No. 1.

Powder

## Discussion

The order schemes obtained by other workers for  $\sigma$ -phases are presented for comparison with the present results in Table 7. The  $\sigma$ -phase Re<sub>18</sub>Mo<sub>12</sub> studied by Ageev & Shekhtman (1959) has a composition intermediate between those of the two Re-Mo alloys used in this work and the present results suggest the following ordering scheme for this alloy: A-2Re; B-1Re3Mo; C-4Re4Mo; D-7Re1Mo;

			Tal	ole 7			
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Unit-} \\ \text{cell} \\ \text{size} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} a \\ c \\ c/a \end{array} \right.$	Re <sub>18</sub> Mo <sub>12</sub> ( <sup>1</sup> ) 9·54 Å 4·95 0·52	Re <sub>18</sub> Cr <sub>12</sub> ( <sup>2</sup> )	Re <sub>2</sub> Cr( <sup>3</sup> ) 9·290 Å 4·831 0·52	Mn <sub>3</sub> Cr( <sup>4</sup> ) 	Mn <sub>19</sub> Mo <sub>11</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> ) 9·10 Å 4·74 0·52	Re <sub>16.5</sub> Mo <sub>13.5</sub> ( <sup>6</sup> ) 9.601 Å 4.985 0.519	Re <sub>20</sub> Mo <sub>10</sub> ( <sup>6</sup> ) 9·579 Å 4·974 0·519
Site A B C D E	Re Mo Re Re Mo	Re Cr Re Re Cr	Assumed Disordered	Mn 3Mn, 1Cr 5Mn, 3Cr Mn 4·5Mn, 3·5Cr	Mn Mo 5Mn, 3Mo Mn 4Mn, 4Mo	Re 1Re, 3Mo 4Re, 4Mo 6·5Re, 1·5Mo 3Re, 5Mo	Re 2Re, 2Mo 4Re, 4Mo Re 4Re, 4Mo

Ageev & Shekhtman, 1959.
 (2) Ageev & Shekhtman, 1960.
 (4) Kasper & Waterstrat, 1956.
 (5) Decker et al., 1954.

(<sup>3</sup>) Waterstrat & Kasper, 1957.

(<sup>6</sup>) Present results.

E-4Re4Mo. This shows agreement with Ageev & Shekhtman for A, B and D sites only, and furthermore, Ageev & Shekhtman reject an order scheme with C and E sites filled in the manner suggested. Unfortunately, no comparable details of line intensities are given in their paper.

It is interesting to compare the present order schemes for  $\sigma Re-Mo$  with those of  $\sigma Mn-Cr$  and  $\sigma$ Mn-Mo, since manganese and rhenium on the one hand and Mo and Cr on the other belong to the same group in the periodic table. The order schemes for  $\sigma$ Mn-Cr and  $\sigma$ Mn-Mo are almost alike with Cr and Mo playing the same role and the excess Mn atoms in  $Mn_3Cr$  tending to fill up B sites rather than C and E sites. The proposed schemes for ReMo55 and ReMo68 are very similar, with Re behaving like Mn and Mo behaving like Cr, except in the filling of B sites. It follows from this comparison that the chemical factor associated with the positions of the alloying elements in the periodic table is an important one in deciding the nature of the order in  $\sigma$ -phases. In contrast to this, it has been noted by Greenfield & Beck (1956) that the mean compositions of the  $\sigma$ -phases formed by rhenium correspond closely to that of  $\sigma$ Mn–Mo but not  $\sigma$ Mn–Cr.

Assuming Re behaves like Mn,  $\sigma$ Re-Cr should be ordered like  $\sigma$ Mn-Cr and  $\sigma$ Re-Mo, but the results given in Table 7 are conflicting on this point. Ageev & Shekhtman give the same order scheme for  $\sigma$ ReCr as  $\sigma$ ReMo, whilst Waterstrat & Kasper (1957) state that their  $\sigma$ ReCr is disordered. A more detailed analysis of this phase is required because the Ageev & Shekhtman scheme is based on six strong lines only and the Waterstrat & Kasper scheme is derived from a 5 cm powder film. As noted above, the main evidence for order is obtained from a detailed study of weak lines.

For  $\sigma$ -phases formed between metals of the first long period and also with molybdenum, a correlation between the position of the element to the left (X)or to the right (Y) of manganese in the periodic table, the co-ordination number and effective volume of site occupied in the ordered structure has been noted by Kasper & Waterstrat (1956) as follows:

Site	Co-ordination No.	Volume of site	Occupancy
A	12	Small	Y
B	15	Large	X
C	14	Medium	Mixed
D	12	Small	Y
${m E}$	14	Medium	Mixed

This correlation is maintained approximately by the  $\sigma$ -phase discussed above formed by rhenium from the third long period and molybdenum from the second long period, with rhenium behaving like Y. In  $\sigma$ ReFe the same correlation is observed with Re behaving like X (Ageev & Shekhtman, 1960) and this dual role is similar to that of manganese itself, which also behaves like X and Y (Kasper & Waterstrat, 1956).

Further correlations have been sought between alloying elements in  $\sigma$ -phases and observed order and, in particular, it has been noted that the volume associated with the crystallographic sites is in accordance with the size of the atomic radii of the elements. This is not applicable to Re and Mo which have very similar radii:  $r_{\rm Re} = 1.37$  and  $r_{\rm Mo} = 1.38$  Å, and the main conclusion which can be drawn from the present work is that rhenium acts like manganese in its ordering behaviour in  $\sigma$ -phases.

The author would like to thank Mrs M. Parselle for assisting with the experimental work and the calculations. He is very much indebted to Dr A. G. Knapton, A. E. I., for making the alloys, and to Mr J. Harrison, Dr J. Adam and Dr J. B. Forsyth of A.E.R.E., for helpful advice and encouragement.

The experimental work was part of a project supported by U.K.A.E.A., Harwell, under the terms of an extra-mural contract.

#### References

- AGEEV, N. V. & SHEKHTMAN, V. Sh. (1959). Izv. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. Ser. Fiz. 23, 5.
- AGEEV, N. V. & SHEKHTMAN, V. SH. (1960). Dokl. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 135, 309.
- BERGMAN, G. & SHOEMAKER, D. P. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 857.
- BROWN, P. J. & FORSYTH, J. B. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 362.
- DAUBEN, C. H. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 841.
- DECKER, B. F., WATERSTRAT, R. M. & KASPER, J. S. (1954). Trans. A.I.M.E. 200, 1406.
- DICKENS, G. J., DOUGLAS, A. M. B. & TAYLOR, W. H. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 297.
- GREENFIELD, P. & BECK, P. A. (1956). Trans. A.I.M.E. 206, 265.
- KASPER, J. S., DECKER, B. F. & BELANGER, J. R. (1952), Abstract for A.C.A. Meeting, June, 1952.
- KASPER, J. S. & WATERSTRAT, R. M. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 289.
- KLUG, H. P. & ALEXANDER, L. E. (1954). X-ray Diffraction Procedures, p. 155. New York: Wiley.
- KNAPTON, A. G. (1958). J. Inst. Met. 87, 28.
- NEVITT, M. V. & DOWNEY, J. W. (1957). Trans. A.I.M.E. 209, 1072.
- WATERSTRAT, R. M. & KASPER, J. S. (1957). Trans. A.I.M.E. 209, 872.
- WILSON, C. G. & SPOONER, F. J. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 230.